

Enriched structure–semantics adjunctions and monad–theory equivalences for subcategories of arities

Jason Parker*

(Joint work with Rory Lucyshyn-Wright)

Several structure–semantics adjunctions and monad–theory equivalences have been established in category theory. Lawvere [6] developed a structure–semantics adjunction between Lawvere theories and *tractable* \mathbf{Set} -valued functors, which was subsequently generalized by Linton [7], while Dubuc [3] established a structure–semantics adjunction between \mathcal{V} -theories and *tractable* \mathcal{V} -valued \mathcal{V} -functors for a symmetric monoidal closed category \mathcal{V} . It is also well known (and due to Linton) that there is an equivalence between Lawvere theories and finitary monads on \mathbf{Set} . Generalizing this result, Lucyshyn-Wright [8] established a monad–theory equivalence for *eleutheric* systems of arities in arbitrary closed categories. Building on work of Nishizawa and Power [11], Bourke and Garner [2] subsequently proved a general monad–theory equivalence for arbitrary small subcategories of arities in locally presentable enriched categories. However, neither of the equivalences of [8] and [2] generalizes the other, and there has not yet been a general treatment of enriched structure–semantics adjunctions that specializes to those established by Lawvere, Linton, and Dubuc.

Motivated by these considerations, we develop a general axiomatic framework for studying enriched structure–semantics adjunctions and monad–theory equivalences for subcategories of arities, which generalizes many previously established results of this kind and also provides substantial new examples in topology, analysis, and differential geometry. For a subcategory of arities \mathcal{J} in a \mathcal{V} -category \mathcal{C} over a symmetric monoidal closed category \mathcal{V} , Linton’s notion of *clone* [7] generalizes to provide enriched notions of \mathcal{J} -theory and \mathcal{J} -pretheory, which were also employed by Bourke and Garner [2]. We say that \mathcal{J} is *amenable* if every \mathcal{J} -theory admits free algebras, and is *strongly amenable* if every \mathcal{J} -pretheory admits free algebras. If \mathcal{J} is amenable, we obtain an idempotent structure–semantics adjunction between *admissible* \mathcal{J} -pretheories and *\mathcal{J} -tractable* \mathcal{V} -categories over \mathcal{C} , which yields an equivalence between \mathcal{J} -theories and *\mathcal{J} -nervous* \mathcal{V} -monads on \mathcal{C} . We obtain further results when \mathcal{J} is strongly amenable, including the existence of algebraic colimits of \mathcal{J} -theories and \mathcal{J} -nervous \mathcal{V} -monads, and the strict monadicity of algebraic \mathcal{V} -functors. We show that many previously studied subcategories of arities are (strongly) amenable, including also the *eleutheric* and *bounded* subcategories of arities studied by the authors in [10], from which we recover many

*We acknowledge the support of the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada (NSERC). Nous remercions le Conseil de recherches en sciences naturelles et en génie du Canada (CRSNG) de son soutien.

structure–semantics adjunctions and monad–theory equivalences previously established in the literature. We conclude with the result that any small subcategory of arities in a *locally bounded* closed category is strongly amenable, from which we obtain structure–semantics adjunctions and monad–theory equivalences in many convenient categories of topological and smooth spaces. In particular, as we show in [9], locally bounded closed categories include the *concrete quasitoposes* of Dubuc [4] and the convenient categories of smooth spaces of Baez and Hoffnung [1], as well as many convenient cartesian closed categories of topological spaces, including compactly generated (Hausdorff, weakly Hausdorff) spaces and the core compactly generated spaces of Escardó-Lawson-Simpson [5].

References

- [1] John C. Baez and Alexander E. Hoffnung, *Convenient categories of smooth spaces*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **363** (2011), no. 11, 5789–5825.
- [2] John Bourke and Richard Garner, *Monads and theories*, Adv. Math. **351** (2019), 1024–1071.
- [3] Eduardo J. Dubuc, *Enriched semantics-structure (meta) adjointness*, Rev. Un. Mat. Argentina **25** (1970/71), 5–26.
- [4] ———, *Concrete quasitopoi*, Applications of sheaves, Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 753, Springer, Berlin, 1979, pp. 239–254.
- [5] Martín Escardó, Jimmie Lawson, and Alex Simpson, *Comparing Cartesian closed categories of (core) compactly generated spaces*, Topology Appl. **143** (2004), no. 1-3, 105–145.
- [6] F. William Lawvere, *Functorial semantics of algebraic theories and some algebraic problems in the context of functorial semantics of algebraic theories*, Repr. Theory Appl. Categ. (2004), no. 5, 1–121.
- [7] F. E. J. Linton, *An outline of functorial semantics*, Sem. on Triples and Categorical Homology Theory (ETH, Zürich, 1966/67), Springer, Berlin, 1969, pp. 7–52.
- [8] Rory B. B. Lucyshyn-Wright, *Enriched algebraic theories and monads for a system of arities*, Theory Appl. Categ. **31** (2016), No. 5, 101–137.
- [9] Rory B. B. Lucyshyn-Wright and Jason Parker, *Locally bounded enriched categories*, Theory Appl. Categ. **38** (2022), No. 18, 684–736.
- [10] Rory B.B. Lucyshyn-Wright and Jason Parker, *Presentations and algebraic colimits of enriched monads for a subcategory of arities*, Preprint, arXiv:2201.03466, 2022.
- [11] Koki Nishizawa and John Power, *Lawvere theories enriched over a general base*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **213** (2009), no. 3, 377–386.