

A Probability Monad on Measure Spaces

Robert Furber

Edinburgh University

22nd July, 2022

- **FinStoch** is the category with finite sets as objects and stochastic matrices as morphisms.

- **FinStoch** is the category with finite sets as objects and stochastic matrices as morphisms.
- **FinSet** \hookrightarrow **FinStoch**.

- **FinStoch** is the category with finite sets as objects and stochastic matrices as morphisms.
- **FinSet** \hookrightarrow **FinStoch**.
- Since **FinStoch** consists of “modified functions” we look for a monad \mathcal{D} on **FinSet** such that $\mathbf{FinStoch} \simeq \mathcal{Kl}(\mathcal{D})$.

- **FinStoch** is the category with finite sets as objects and stochastic matrices as morphisms.
- **FinSet** \hookrightarrow **FinStoch**.
- Since **FinStoch** consists of “modified functions” we look for a monad \mathcal{D} on **FinSet** such that $\mathbf{FinStoch} \simeq \mathcal{Kl}(\mathcal{D})$.
- Rows summing to 1 indicates $\mathcal{D}(X)$ consists of nonnegative real functions on X that sum to 1.

- **FinStoch** is the category with finite sets as objects and stochastic matrices as morphisms.
- **FinSet** \hookrightarrow **FinStoch**.
- Since **FinStoch** consists of “modified functions” we look for a monad \mathcal{D} on **FinSet** such that $\mathbf{FinStoch} \simeq \mathcal{Kl}(\mathcal{D})$.
- Rows summing to 1 indicates $\mathcal{D}(X)$ consists of nonnegative real functions on X that sum to 1.
- If $|X| \geq 2$, $\mathcal{D}(X)$ is infinite, so it has to be defined on **Set**. Then $\mathbf{FinStoch} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{Kl}(\mathcal{D})$ is the full subcategory on finite sets.

- **FinStoch** is the category with finite sets as objects and stochastic matrices as morphisms.
- **FinSet** \hookrightarrow **FinStoch**.
- Since **FinStoch** consists of “modified functions” we look for a monad \mathcal{D} on **FinSet** such that $\mathbf{FinStoch} \simeq \mathcal{Kl}(\mathcal{D})$.
- Rows summing to 1 indicates $\mathcal{D}(X)$ consists of nonnegative real functions on X that sum to 1.
- If $|X| \geq 2$, $\mathcal{D}(X)$ is infinite, so it has to be defined on **Set**. Then $\mathbf{FinStoch} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{Kl}(\mathcal{D})$ is the full subcategory on finite sets.
- We cannot handle probabilities such as sequences of independent coin flips on $2^{\mathbb{N}}$ or Lebesgue measure on $[0, 1]$ this way. We need a different category to play the role of **Set**.

- First attempt: $2^{\mathbb{N}}$ and $[0, 1]$ are examples of *compact Hausdorff spaces*.

- First attempt: $2^{\mathbb{N}}$ and $[0, 1]$ are examples of *compact Hausdorff spaces*.
- Why concentrate on them? They have a good duality theory.

- First attempt: $2^{\mathbb{N}}$ and $[0, 1]$ are examples of *compact Hausdorff spaces*.
- Why concentrate on them? They have a good duality theory.
- If X is compact Hausdorff space $C(X) = \mathbf{Top}(X, \mathbb{C})$ is a (commutative unital) C^* -algebra.

- First attempt: $2^{\mathbb{N}}$ and $[0, 1]$ are examples of *compact Hausdorff spaces*.
- Why concentrate on them? They have a good duality theory.
- If X is compact Hausdorff space $C(X) = \mathbf{Top}(X, \mathbb{C})$ is a (commutative unital) C^* -algebra.
- A unital C^* -algebra is an internal $*$ -monoid in \mathbf{Ban}_1 with the (nontrivial) extra condition that $\|a^*a\| = \|a\|^2$.

- First attempt: $2^{\mathbb{N}}$ and $[0, 1]$ are examples of *compact Hausdorff spaces*.
- Why concentrate on them? They have a good duality theory.
- If X is compact Hausdorff space $C(X) = \mathbf{Top}(X, \mathbb{C})$ is a (commutative unital) C^* -algebra.
- A unital C^* -algebra is an internal $*$ -monoid in \mathbf{Ban}_1 with the (nontrivial) extra condition that $\|a^*a\| = \|a\|^2$.
- But the important part is $C : \mathbf{CHaus} \rightarrow \mathbf{CC}^*\mathbf{Alg}^{\text{op}}$ is an equivalence, where morphisms in $\mathbf{CC}^*\mathbf{Alg}^{\text{op}}$ are unital $*$ -homomorphisms. (Gel'fand Duality).

- First attempt: $2^{\mathbb{N}}$ and $[0, 1]$ are examples of *compact Hausdorff spaces*.
- Why concentrate on them? They have a good duality theory.
- If X is compact Hausdorff space $C(X) = \mathbf{Top}(X, \mathbb{C})$ is a (commutative unital) C^* -algebra.
- A unital C^* -algebra is an internal $*$ -monoid in \mathbf{Ban}_1 with the (nontrivial) extra condition that $\|a^*a\| = \|a\|^2$.
- But the important part is $C : \mathbf{CHaus} \rightarrow \mathbf{CC}^*\mathbf{Alg}^{\text{op}}$ is an equivalence, where morphisms in $\mathbf{CC}^*\mathbf{Alg}^{\text{op}}$ are unital $*$ -homomorphisms. (Gel'fand Duality).
- $\text{Spec} : \mathbf{CC}^*\mathbf{Alg}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathbf{CHaus}$ is the inverse where $\text{Spec}(A) = \mathbf{CC}^*\mathbf{Alg}(A, \mathbb{C})$.

- C^* -algebras have a positive cone, on $C(X)$ it is the set of functions with values in $[0, \infty) \subseteq \mathbb{C}$.

- C^* -algebras have a positive cone, on $C(X)$ it is the set of functions with values in $[0, \infty) \subseteq \mathbb{C}$.
- A positive unital map is a linear map that preserves the positive cone (equivalent to monotonicity w.r.t. the order) and unit.

- C^* -algebras have a positive cone, on $C(X)$ it is the set of functions with values in $[0, \infty) \subseteq \mathbb{C}$.
- A positive unital map is a linear map that preserves the positive cone (equivalent to monotonicity w.r.t. the order) and unit.
- $\mathbf{CC^*Alg}_{PU}$ has positive unital maps as morphisms, $\mathbf{CC^*Alg}$ is a subcategory.

- C^* -algebras have a positive cone, on $C(X)$ it is the set of functions with values in $[0, \infty) \subseteq \mathbb{C}$.
- A positive unital map is a linear map that preserves the positive cone (equivalent to monotonicity w.r.t. the order) and unit.
- $\mathbf{CC^*Alg}_{PU}$ has positive unital maps as morphisms, $\mathbf{CC^*Alg}$ is a subcategory.
- The state space $\mathcal{S}(A) = \mathbf{CC^*Alg}_{PU}(A, \mathbb{C})$.

- $\mathcal{R}(X) = \mathcal{S}(C(X)) = \mathbf{CC^*Alg}_{PU}(C(X), \mathbb{C})$ is a compact Hausdorff space (the space of Radon probability measures). It is a monad on **CHaus**.

- $\mathcal{R}(X) = \mathcal{S}(C(X)) = \mathbf{CC^*Alg}_{PU}(C(X), \mathbb{C})$ is a compact Hausdorff space (the space of Radon probability measures). It is a monad on **CHaus**.
- Example: On $[0, 1]$ define $\phi : C([0, 1]) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$

$$\phi(a) = \int_0^1 a(x) \, dx$$

- $\mathcal{R}(X) = \mathcal{S}(C(X)) = \mathbf{CC^*Alg}_{\text{PU}}(C(X), \mathbb{C})$ is a compact Hausdorff space (the space of Radon probability measures). It is a monad on **CHaus**.
- Example: On $[0, 1]$ define $\phi : C([0, 1]) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$

$$\phi(a) = \int_0^1 a(x) \, dx$$

- The Riesz representation theorem puts regular probability measures on X in bijection with elements $\phi \in \mathcal{R}(X)$.

- $\mathcal{R}(X) = \mathcal{S}(C(X)) = \mathbf{CC^*Alg}_{\text{PU}}(C(X), \mathbb{C})$ is a compact Hausdorff space (the space of Radon probability measures). It is a monad on **CHaus**.
- Example: On $[0, 1]$ define $\phi : C([0, 1]) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$

$$\phi(a) = \int_0^1 a(x) \, dx$$

- The Riesz representation theorem puts regular probability measures on X in bijection with elements $\phi \in \mathcal{R}(X)$.
- $\mathcal{K}\ell(\mathcal{R})$ is like $\mathcal{K}\ell(\mathcal{D})$ but with continuity.

Probabilistic Gel'fand Duality

- We can extend C to a functor $C_{PU} : \mathcal{K}\ell(\mathcal{R}) \rightarrow \mathbf{CC^*Alg}_{PU}^{\text{op}}$.

- We can extend C to a functor $C_{PU} : \mathcal{K}\ell(\mathcal{R}) \rightarrow \mathbf{CC^*Alg}_{PU}^{\text{op}}$.
- On $f : X \rightarrow \mathcal{R}(Y)$ we define $C_{PU}(f) : C(Y) \rightarrow C(X)$ by

$$C(f)(b)(x) = f(x)(b)$$

i.e. swapping the arguments of a curried function.

- We can extend C to a functor $C_{PU} : \mathcal{K}\ell(\mathcal{R}) \rightarrow \mathbf{CC^*Alg}_{PU}^{\text{op}}$.
- On $f : X \rightarrow \mathcal{R}(Y)$ we define $C_{PU}(f) : C(Y) \rightarrow C(X)$ by

$$C(f)(b)(x) = f(x)(b)$$

i.e. swapping the arguments of a curried function.

- C_{PU} is an equivalence. [FJ15]

Probabilistic Gel'fand Duality II

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{K}\ell(\mathcal{R}) & \xrightarrow{C_{PU}} & \mathbf{CC^*\mathbf{Alg}}_{PU}^{\text{op}} \\ F_{\mathcal{R}} \uparrow \dashv \downarrow G_{\mathcal{R}} & & \uparrow \dashv \downarrow \text{CoS} \\ \mathbf{CHaus} & \xrightarrow{C} & \mathbf{CC^*\mathbf{Alg}}^{\text{op}}, \end{array}$$

$\mathbf{CC^*\mathbf{Alg}}_{PU}$ is therefore the coKleisli category of a comonad on $\mathbf{CC^*\mathbf{Alg}}$.

Given a finite set X and $\phi \in \mathcal{D}(X)$, and a function $\mathcal{Y} : X \rightarrow Y$ we can define a function $e : Y \rightarrow \mathcal{D}(X)$

$$\begin{aligned} e(y)(x) &= \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{X} = x \mid \mathcal{Y} = y) = \frac{\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{X} = x, \mathcal{Y} = y)}{\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{Y} = y)} \\ &= \frac{\phi(x)[\mathcal{Y}(x) = y]}{\sum_{x' \in \mathcal{Y}^{-1}(y)} \phi(x')} \end{aligned}$$

(where $\mathcal{X} : X \rightarrow X$ is the identity function)

Conditional Probability Maps in General

This conditional probability map satisfies two properties:

Conditional Probability Maps in General

This conditional probability map satisfies two properties:

- ① e is a “probabilistic section” of \mathcal{Y} :

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Y & \xrightarrow{e} & X \\ \text{id}_Y \swarrow & \downarrow & \downarrow F_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathcal{Y}) \\ & Y & \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{ccc} Y & \xrightarrow{e} & \mathcal{D}(X) \\ & \searrow \eta_Y & \downarrow \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{Y}) \\ & \mathcal{D}(Y) & \end{array}$$

(or $e(y)$ is supported on $\mathcal{Y}^{-1}(y)$)

Conditional Probability Maps in General

This conditional probability map satisfies two properties:

- 1 e is a “probabilistic section” of \mathcal{Y} :

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Y & \xrightarrow{e} & X \\ & \swarrow \text{id}_Y & \downarrow F_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathcal{Y}) \\ & Y & \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{ccc} Y & \xrightarrow{e} & \mathcal{D}(X) \\ & \searrow \eta_Y & \downarrow \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{Y}) \\ & & \mathcal{D}(Y) \end{array}$$

(or $e(y)$ is supported on $\mathcal{Y}^{-1}(y)$)

- 2 ϕ is mapped back to itself by the maps the other way

$$\begin{array}{ccc} 1 & \xrightarrow{\phi} & X \\ \phi \downarrow & \uparrow e & \downarrow \\ X & \xrightarrow{F_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathcal{Y})} & Y \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{ccc} 1 & \xrightarrow{\phi} & \mathcal{D}(X) \\ \phi \downarrow & & \uparrow \mu_X \circ \mathcal{D}(e) \\ \mathcal{D}(X) & \xrightarrow[\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{Y})]{} & \mathcal{D}(Y) \end{array}$$

(marginal probability and conditional probability reproduce joint probability)

- We can use this to define what a conditional probability map in $\mathcal{Kl}(\mathcal{R})$ should be.

- We can use this to define what a conditional probability map in $\mathcal{Kl}(\mathcal{R})$ should be.
- But there are surjective maps with no probabilistic section, e.g. the binary digits map $2^{\mathbb{N}} \rightarrow [0, 1]$.

- We can use this to define what a conditional probability map in $\mathcal{Kl}(\mathcal{R})$ should be.
- But there are surjective maps with no probabilistic section, e.g. the binary digits map $2^{\mathbb{N}} \rightarrow [0, 1]$.
- We might try using the Giry monad \mathcal{G} on measurable spaces. But even on standard Borel spaces there are surjective maps with no probabilistic section.

- We can use this to define what a conditional probability map in $\mathcal{Kl}(\mathcal{R})$ should be.
- But there are surjective maps with no probabilistic section, e.g. the binary digits map $2^{\mathbb{N}} \rightarrow [0, 1]$.
- We might try using the Giry monad \mathcal{G} on measurable spaces. But even on standard Borel spaces there are surjective maps with no probabilistic section.
- A modification of this notion where we only require a probabilistic section “almost everywhere” exists for standard Borel spaces and is known as a *regular conditional probability*.

- We can use this to define what a conditional probability map in $\mathcal{Kl}(\mathcal{R})$ should be.
- But there are surjective maps with no probabilistic section, e.g. the binary digits map $2^{\mathbb{N}} \rightarrow [0, 1]$.
- We might try using the Giry monad \mathcal{G} on measurable spaces. But even on standard Borel spaces there are surjective maps with no probabilistic section.
- A modification of this notion where we only require a probabilistic section “almost everywhere” exists for standard Borel spaces and is known as a *regular conditional probability*.

Idea

How about working in a category of measure spaces that ignores null sets to begin with?

- When trying to make this work, it helps to use probabilistic Gel'fand duality.

- When trying to make this work, it helps to use probabilistic Gel'fand duality.
- Under probabilistic Gel'fand duality, a conditional probability map corresponds to the notion of a *conditional expectation* from operator algebra [Tom57, Tak72].

- When trying to make this work, it helps to use probabilistic Gel'fand duality.
- Under probabilistic Gel'fand duality, a conditional probability map corresponds to the notion of a *conditional expectation* from operator algebra [Tom57, Tak72].
- This is not a coincidence (but no Kleisli categories were used in defining it originally).

- When trying to make this work, it helps to use probabilistic Gel'fand duality.
- Under probabilistic Gel'fand duality, a conditional probability map corresponds to the notion of a *conditional expectation* from operator algebra [Tom57, Tak72].
- This is not a coincidence (but no Kleisli categories were used in defining it originally).
- We need the measure theoretic analogue of C , which is L^∞ .

Let (X, ν) be a probability space:

- $L^\infty(X, \nu)$ is the space of bounded measurable functions modulo equality ν -almost everywhere. It is a commutative C^* -algebra.

Let (X, ν) be a probability space:

- $L^\infty(X, \nu)$ is the space of bounded measurable functions modulo equality ν -almost everywhere. It is a commutative C^* -algebra.
- $L^1(X, \nu)$ is the space of (absolutely) ν -integrable functions modulo equality ν -a.e.

Let (X, ν) be a probability space:

- $L^\infty(X, \nu)$ is the space of bounded measurable functions modulo equality ν -almost everywhere. It is a commutative C^* -algebra.
- $L^1(X, \nu)$ is the space of (absolutely) ν -integrable functions modulo equality ν -a.e.
- The pairing $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : L^\infty(X, \nu) \times L^1(X, \nu) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ defined by integration

$$\langle a, \phi \rangle = \int_X a\phi \, d\nu$$

defines an isometry $L^\infty(X, \nu) \rightarrow L^1(X, \nu)^*$. This makes $L^\infty(X, \nu)$ a commutative W^* -algebra, $L^1(X, \nu)$ is the *predual*.

Let (X, ν) be a probability space:

- $L^\infty(X, \nu)$ is the space of bounded measurable functions modulo equality ν -almost everywhere. It is a commutative C^* -algebra.
- $L^1(X, \nu)$ is the space of (absolutely) ν -integrable functions modulo equality ν -a.e.
- The pairing $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : L^\infty(X, \nu) \times L^1(X, \nu) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ defined by integration

$$\langle a, \phi \rangle = \int_X a\phi \, d\nu$$

defines an isometry $L^\infty(X, \nu) \rightarrow L^1(X, \nu)^*$. This makes $L^\infty(X, \nu)$ a commutative W^* -algebra, $L^1(X, \nu)$ is the *predual*.

- In fact we cannot stay confined to probability spaces, but we cannot be too general because $L^\infty(X, \nu) \not\cong L^1(X, \nu)$ for all measure spaces.

- The objects of **Meas** are compact complete strictly localizable measure spaces, the morphisms equivalence classes of nullset-reflecting measurable maps.

- The objects of **Meas** are compact complete strictly localizable measure spaces, the morphisms equivalence classes of nullset-reflecting measurable maps.
- This class of measure spaces was singled out by Fremlin in [Fre02] for duality (between measure spaces and a full subcategory of complete Boolean algebras).

- The objects of **Meas** are compact complete strictly localizable measure spaces, the morphisms equivalence classes of nullset-reflecting measurable maps.
- This class of measure spaces was singled out by Fremlin in [Fre02] for duality (between measure spaces and a full subcategory of complete Boolean algebras).
- **CW*Alg** is a non-full subcategory of **CC*Alg** – the morphisms are *normal* $*$ -homomorphisms, which are maps that are equivalently weak- $*$ continuous or Scott continuous.

- The objects of **Meas** are compact complete strictly localizable measure spaces, the morphisms equivalence classes of nullset-reflecting measurable maps.
- This class of measure spaces was singled out by Fremlin in [Fre02] for duality (between measure spaces and a full subcategory of complete Boolean algebras).
- **CW*Alg** is a non-full subcategory of **CC*Alg** – the morphisms are *normal* $*$ -homomorphisms, which are maps that are equivalently weak- $*$ continuous or Scott continuous.
- $L^\infty : \mathbf{Meas} \rightarrow \mathbf{CW*Alg}^{\text{op}}$ is an equivalence.

- An inverse to L^∞ is given by $\text{Spec} : \mathbf{CW}^*\mathbf{Alg}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathbf{Meas}$ (hyperstonean spaces).

- An inverse to L^∞ is given by $\text{Spec} : \mathbf{CW}^*\mathbf{Alg}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathbf{Meas}$ (hyperstonean spaces).
- Every object of \mathbf{Meas} is isomorphic to

$$\coprod_{i \in I} (2^{\kappa_i}, \nu_{2^{\kappa_i}})$$

for some family of cardinals $(\kappa_i)_{i \in I}$ (Maharam's theorem).

- An inverse to L^∞ is given by $\text{Spec} : \mathbf{CW}^*\mathbf{Alg}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathbf{Meas}$ (hyperstonean spaces).
- Every object of \mathbf{Meas} is isomorphic to

$$\coprod_{i \in I} (2^{\kappa_i}, \nu_{2^{\kappa_i}})$$

for some family of cardinals $(\kappa_i)_{i \in I}$ (Maharam's theorem).

- Reference for W^* -algebra Gel'fand duality: [Pav22].

A Monad for Conditional Expectations?

- By analogy to C^* -algebras, the probabilistic category of W^* -algebras is $\mathbf{CW}^*\mathbf{Alg}_{\mathbf{PU}}$ (normal positive unital maps).

A Monad for Conditional Expectations?

- By analogy to C^* -algebras, the probabilistic category of W^* -algebras is $\mathbf{CW^*Alg}_{\text{PU}}$ (normal positive unital maps).
- Nonexistence problems are over: Conditional expectations exist in $\mathbf{CW^*Alg}_{\text{PU}}$ for $L^\infty(f)$ if f is between probability spaces.

A Monad for Conditional Expectations?

- By analogy to C^* -algebras, the probabilistic category of W^* -algebras is $\mathbf{CW}^*\mathbf{Alg}_{\text{PU}}$ (normal positive unital maps).
- Nonexistence problems are over: Conditional expectations exist in $\mathbf{CW}^*\mathbf{Alg}_{\text{PU}}$ for $L^\infty(f)$ if f is between probability spaces.
- We want a monad T on \mathbf{Meas} whose Kleisli category is equivalent to $\mathbf{CW}^*\mathbf{Alg}_{\text{PU}}^{\text{op}}$. We can use W^* -Gel'fand duality to work on the W^* -side first.

A Monad for Conditional Expectations?

- By analogy to C^* -algebras, the probabilistic category of W^* -algebras is $\mathbf{CW}^*\mathbf{Alg}_{\mathbf{PU}}$ (normal positive unital maps).
- Nonexistence problems are over: Conditional expectations exist in $\mathbf{CW}^*\mathbf{Alg}_{\mathbf{PU}}$ for $L^\infty(f)$ if f is between probability spaces.
- We want a monad T on \mathbf{Meas} whose Kleisli category is equivalent to $\mathbf{CW}^*\mathbf{Alg}_{\mathbf{PU}}^{\text{op}}$. We can use W^* -Gel'fand duality to work on the W^* -side first.
- So show that $\mathbf{CW}^*\mathbf{Alg} \hookrightarrow \mathbf{CW}^*\mathbf{Alg}_{\mathbf{PU}}$ has a left adjoint F such that the comparison functor for the coKleisli category of the comonad is an equivalence.

- The forgetful functor $\mathbf{CW^*Alg}_{PU} \rightarrow \mathbf{CC^*Alg}_{PU}$ has a left adjoint, the *enveloping W^* -algebra*. For $A \in \mathbf{CC^*Alg}$ it is the double dual A^{**} . This also produces a left adjoint to $\mathbf{CW^*Alg} \rightarrow \mathbf{CC^*Alg}$.

- The forgetful functor $\mathbf{CW^*Alg}_{PU} \rightarrow \mathbf{CC^*Alg}_{PU}$ has a left adjoint, the *enveloping W^* -algebra*. For $A \in \mathbf{CC^*Alg}$ it is the double dual A^{**} . This also produces a left adjoint to $\mathbf{CW^*Alg} \rightarrow \mathbf{CC^*Alg}$.
- Observe:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{CW^*Alg}_{PU}(A^{**}, B) &\cong \mathbf{CC^*Alg}_{PU}(A, B) \cong \mathbf{CC^*Alg}(C(\mathcal{S}(A)), B) \\ &\cong \mathbf{CW^*Alg}(C(\mathcal{S}(A))^{**}, B).\end{aligned}$$

- The forgetful functor $\mathbf{CW^*Alg}_{PU} \rightarrow \mathbf{CC^*Alg}_{PU}$ has a left adjoint, the *enveloping W^* -algebra*. For $A \in \mathbf{CC^*Alg}$ it is the double dual A^{**} . This also produces a left adjoint to $\mathbf{CW^*Alg} \rightarrow \mathbf{CC^*Alg}$.
- Observe:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{CW^*Alg}_{PU}(A^{**}, B) &\cong \mathbf{CC^*Alg}_{PU}(A, B) \cong \mathbf{CC^*Alg}(C(S(A)), B) \\ &\cong \mathbf{CW^*Alg}(C(S(A))^{**}, B).\end{aligned}$$

- It must be that $F(A^{**}) = C(S(A))^{**}$.

- The forgetful functor $\mathbf{CW^*Alg}_{PU} \rightarrow \mathbf{CC^*Alg}_{PU}$ has a left adjoint, the *enveloping W^* -algebra*. For $A \in \mathbf{CC^*Alg}$ it is the double dual A^{**} . This also produces a left adjoint to $\mathbf{CW^*Alg} \rightarrow \mathbf{CC^*Alg}$.
- Observe:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{CW^*Alg}_{PU}(A^{**}, B) &\cong \mathbf{CC^*Alg}_{PU}(A, B) \cong \mathbf{CC^*Alg}(C(S(A)), B) \\ &\cong \mathbf{CW^*Alg}(C(S(A))^{**}, B).\end{aligned}$$

- It must be that $F(A^{**}) = C(S(A))^{**}$.
- Not all W^* -algebras are double duals!

Lemma

CW*Alg is monadic over **CC*Alg**, i.e. $\mathbf{CW}^*\mathbf{Alg} \simeq \mathcal{EM}(-^{**})$.

Defining F

Lemma

CW*Alg is monadic over **CC*Alg**, i.e. $\mathbf{CW}^*\mathbf{Alg} \simeq \mathcal{EM}(-^{**})$.

- Therefore

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A^{****} & \xrightarrow{\epsilon_{A^{**}}} & A^{**} \\ & \xrightarrow{\epsilon_A^{**}} & \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\epsilon_A} \\ \xrightarrow{\epsilon_A^{**}} \end{array} \quad A$$

is a coequalizer (the *canonical presentation* of A).

Lemma

CW*Alg is monadic over **CC*Alg**, i.e. $\mathbf{CW}^*\mathbf{Alg} \simeq \mathcal{EM}(-^{**})$.

- Therefore

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A^{****} & \xrightarrow{\epsilon_{A^{**}}} & A^{**} \\ & \xrightarrow{\epsilon_A^{**}} & \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\epsilon_A} \\ \xrightarrow{\epsilon_A^{**}} \end{array} \quad A$$

is a coequalizer (the *canonical presentation* of A).

- This coequalizer is preserved by the inclusion
 $\mathbf{CW}^*\mathbf{Alg} \hookrightarrow \mathbf{CW}^*\mathbf{Alg}_{PU}$.

Defining F

Lemma

CW*Alg is monadic over **CC*Alg**, i.e. $\mathbf{CW}^*\mathbf{Alg} \simeq \mathcal{EM}(-^{**})$.

- Therefore

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A^{****} & \xrightarrow{\epsilon_{A^{**}}} & A^{**} \\ & \xrightarrow{\epsilon_A^{**}} & \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\epsilon_A} \\ \xrightarrow{\epsilon_A} \end{array} \quad A$$

is a coequalizer (the *canonical presentation* of A).

- This coequalizer is preserved by the inclusion $\mathbf{CW}^*\mathbf{Alg} \hookrightarrow \mathbf{CW}^*\mathbf{Alg}_{PU}$.
- Since left adjoints preserve colimits and **CW*Alg** is cocomplete, this allows us to define $F : \mathbf{CW}^*\mathbf{Alg}_{PU} \rightarrow \mathbf{CW}^*\mathbf{Alg}$.

Lemma

CW*Alg is monadic over **CC*Alg**, i.e. $\mathbf{CW}^*\mathbf{Alg} \simeq \mathcal{EM}(-^{**})$.

- Therefore

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A^{****} & \xrightarrow{\epsilon_{A^{**}}} & A^{**} \xrightarrow{\epsilon_A} A \\ & \searrow \epsilon_A^{**} & \end{array}$$

is a coequalizer (the *canonical presentation* of A).

- This coequalizer is preserved by the inclusion $\mathbf{CW}^*\mathbf{Alg} \hookrightarrow \mathbf{CW}^*\mathbf{Alg}_{\text{PU}}$.
- Since left adjoints preserve colimits and **CW*Alg** is cocomplete, this allows us to define $F : \mathbf{CW}^*\mathbf{Alg}_{\text{PU}} \rightarrow \mathbf{CW}^*\mathbf{Alg}$.
- The coKleisli comparison functor is an equivalence with **CW*Alg_{PU}** because **CW*Alg_{PU}** and **CW*Alg** have the same objects. [Wes17, Theorem 9]

Theorem

*There is a monad T on **Meas** such that $\mathcal{K}\ell(T) \simeq \mathbf{CW}^*\mathbf{Alg}_{\text{PU}}$.*

Theorem

*There is a monad T on **Meas** such that $\mathcal{K}\ell(T) \simeq \mathbf{CW}^*\mathbf{Alg}_{\text{PU}}$.*

- It seems the simplest way to realize $T(X)$ is to take the Gel'fand spectrum of $F(L^\infty(X))$.

- For a countable set X

$$T(X) \cong ([0, 1], \mathcal{P}([0, 1]), \nu_d) + ([0, 1]^2, \mathcal{P}([0, 1]) \otimes \widehat{\mathcal{B}o([0, 1])}, \nu_d \otimes \nu_L)$$

where ν_d is the counting measure and ν_L the Lebesgue measure.

- For a countable set X

$$T(X) \cong ([0, 1], \mathcal{P}([0, 1]), \nu_d) + ([0, 1]^2, \mathcal{P}([0, 1]) \otimes \widehat{\mathcal{B}o([0, 1])}, \nu_d \otimes \nu_L)$$

where ν_d is the counting measure and ν_L the Lebesgue measure.

- The need to use non-probabilistic spaces is analogous to the need to use **Set** instead of **FinSet** to define \mathcal{D} .

- For a countable set X

$$T(X) \cong ([0, 1], \mathcal{P}([0, 1]), \nu_d) + ([0, 1]^2, \mathcal{P}([0, 1]) \otimes \widehat{\mathcal{B}o([0, 1])}, \nu_d \otimes \nu_L)$$

where ν_d is the counting measure and ν_L the Lebesgue measure.

- The need to use non-probabilistic spaces is analogous to the need to use **Set** instead of **FinSet** to define \mathcal{D} .
- We only have that **Meas**(1, $T(X)$) corresponds to the density functions on X , not that $T(X)$ does.

- For a countable set X

$$T(X) \cong ([0, 1], \mathcal{P}([0, 1]), \nu_d) + ([0, 1]^2, \mathcal{P}([0, 1]) \otimes \widehat{\mathcal{B}o([0, 1])}, \nu_d \otimes \nu_L)$$

where ν_d is the counting measure and ν_L the Lebesgue measure.

- The need to use non-probabilistic spaces is analogous to the need to use **Set** instead of **FinSet** to define \mathcal{D} .
- We only have that **Meas**(1, $T(X)$) corresponds to the density functions on X , not that $T(X)$ does.
- It should be that $\mathcal{K}\ell(T)$ and $\mathbf{CW^*Alg}_{PU}^{\text{op}}$ are Markov categories in the sense of [Fri20] (work in progress).

References I

-  Robert Furber and Bart Jacobs, *From Kleisli Categories to Commutative C^* -algebras: Probabilistic Gelfand Duality*, Logical Methods in Computer Science **11** (2015), no. 2, 1–28.
-  David H. Fremlin, *Measure Theory, Volume 3*, <https://www.essex.ac.uk/mathematics/people/fremlin/mt.htm>, 2002.
-  Tobias Fritz, *A synthetic approach to Markov kernels, conditional independence and theorems on sufficient statistics*, Advances in Mathematics **370** (2020), 107239.
-  Dmitri Pavlov, *Gelfand-type duality for commutative von Neumann algebras*, Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra **226** (2022), no. 4, 106884.

References II

-  Masamichi Takesaki, *Conditional expectations in von Neumann algebras*, Journal of Functional Analysis **9** (1972), no. 3, 306–321.
-  Jun Tomiyama, *On the Projection of Norm One in W^* -algebras*, Proceedings of the Japan Academy **33** (1957), no. 10, 608–612.
-  Bram Westerbaan, *Quantum Programs as Kleisli Maps*, Electronic Proceedings in Computer Science (EPTCS) **236** (2017), 215–228.